

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,559	04/19/2004	· Yoshiki Katoh	4041J-000859	4409
27572 7590 05/31/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.				IINER
P.O. BOX 828			FORD, JOHN K	
BLOOMFIELI	O HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3744	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/827,559	KATOH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	John K. Ford	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27/07		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	i
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10, is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,		I).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 Interior Com-	(PTO 412)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	,
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1001-04-17 + 2006-02-16	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Applicant's election of the invention embodied in the apparatus claims and the species of Figures 1A-1B and 2, both elections without traverse, is acknowledged. Of the elected apparatus claims, applicant has identified apparatus claims 1-3, 21 and 22 as readable on the elected species of Figures 1A-1B and 2. Accordingly, claims 4-20 and 23-38 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected species and/or inventions. The election requirement is deemed proper and made final.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As a preliminary matter, claim 1 contains a typographical error on line 9 (portion is misspelled as "potion"). More problematic is the failure of claim 1 to specify where the first and second sections of the core portion are relative to the other claimed structure. In the absence of any limitation on their location it appears that one can arbitrarily assign these sections to almost any location or locations, rendering claim 1 vague.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,559

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Minami (USP 4,417,619).

In Minami (Figures 1-3) the tubes cross-over each other in the manner claimed.

The claimed distributing portion and collector portion is formed by all of the tube crossovers from front to back taken collectively and all of the tube crossovers from back to front taken collectively, respectively from the various headers.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 1, 2, 3, 21 and 22 above, and further in view of Haussmann (USP 5,251,692).

To have replaced teach of the tubes in Minami (Figures 1-3) with multi-bore tubes such as taught by Haussmann to improve heat exchange performance would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John K. Ford whose telephone number is 571-272-4911. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John K. Pord Privilery Exeminar